1	
2	
3	CHIEF JUDGE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8	AT SEATTLE
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
10	No. CR19-213 RSM Plaintiff
11	v. ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED  MOTION TO SCHEDULE SENTENCING
12	PHILIP B. GILBERT, HEARING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE
13	Defendant

THE COURT has considered the unopposed motion of the parties to proceed forward with a sentencing hearing via videoconference and finds that:

- (a.) Under General Order 04-20, felony pleas and sentencings may proceed by video or telephone if "the district judge in a particular case finds for specific reasons that the plea or sentencing in that case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice." A plea hearing in this case cannot be delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice; and
  - (b.) Mr. Gilbert would like to take responsibility in this matter and is seeking a sentence

ORDER GRANTING SENTENCING HEARING VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE USA v. Gilbert, CR19-213 RSM

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21



1 of time served; and 2 (c.) Mr. Gilbert wishes to be sente4nced as soon as possible in light of a pending sexual 3 assault charges issued out of Contra Costa County California for which he faces extradition; and (d.) A videoconference sentencing hearing would avoid further delays in the ultimate sentencing in this case, which would cause "serious harm to the interest of justice." See General 5 6 Order No. 04-20 (3/30/20). 7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this matter should be set for sentencing hearing via 8 videoconference at the earliest practicable date. 9 DONE this 29<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2020. 10 11 12 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ 13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21